Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/542,549	LILEY, DAVID TIBOR JULIAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA	3735
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA</u> .	(3)	
(2) Josef Hoffman (Applicant's Representative).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 December 2010	Time: 3:15 pm	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 101		
Claims discussed: 1-17, 23, 24, and 26		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Navin Natnithithadha/ Examiner, Art Unit 3735 (A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/542,549

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1-17, 23, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter because these claims are method or process claims that do not transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing, nor are they tied to a particular machine. Examiner and Applicant's Representative agreed to a proposed Examiner's Amendment to claims 1, 3, 4, and 7 to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection.